· 临床研究 ·

严重烧伤病人 TNF $-\alpha$ 和 TNFR I、II 水平测定的临床意义

李利平 丁腊春 段非交 张建军 万 江 王汉群 郑 军 刘明寿 谭善彰

「关键词」 烧伤 细胞因子 感染 死亡率

【中图分类号】R365 【文献标识码】A 【文章编号】1001 - 0726 (2001)03 - 0159 - 03

Clinical Significance of the Determination of the Levels of TNF – α and TNFR I, II in Severely Burned Patients Li Li – ping, Ding La – chun, Duan Fei – jiao, et al. Dept. of Burns and Plastic Surgery, The 1st Affiliated Hospital of Nanhua University 421001

Abstract Objective: To explore the significance of the changes in the levels of TNF – α and TNFR I and II in blood post burn. Method: 28 cases were divided into death group, survival group, inhalation injury complication group and sepsis complication group. TNF - α and TNFR I, TNFR II levels were determined upon hospitalization and in infection stage, using ELISA method. Results: In acute burn stage, TNFR - I and II levels were raised and was positively correlated to TBSA and BI, after that the levels began to decline; TNF - αkept at high level but no positive correlation with TB-SA and BI. In survival group, TNFR - I and II levels were lower than that in the death group. In inhalation injury complication group and non - inhalation injury complication group, the difference between TNFR - I and II levels was not significant. TNF - αlevel in survival and death groups, as well as in inhalation injury complication and non - inhalation injury complication groups was not positively correlated to BI. The peak values of TNFR - I and II levels were higher in patients complicated with infection and sepsis than that determined upon hospitalization and were positively correlated with TBSA and BI. In death group, TNFR - I and II levels were significantly higher than that in survival group. In sepsis complication group, TNF - αlevel was significantly higher than that in non - sepsis group. TNF - αlevel was positively correlated to TBSA and BI, in death group, TNF - α level was significantly higher than that in survival group. TNF - α level was positively correlated with TNFR - I and II levels. Conclusion: TNF - α and TNFR - I and II levels were raised at acute and infection stages, the more serious the case the higher the levels. Determination of the levels of TNF - α and TNFR - I and II is of significance in prognosis.

Key words Burn Cell factor Infection Death rate

[CLC number] R365 [Document code] A [Article ID] 1001 - 0726 (2001) 03 - 0159 - 03

烧伤后机体立即作出强烈反应 ,而且烧伤越重 (烧伤面积越大和/或伴吸入性损伤)反应越强烈 , 并发感染(创面感染或脓毒症)反应更为强烈。以往 不少研究报道炎症细胞因子 ,如肿瘤坏死因子 α

 $(TNF - \alpha)$ 、白介素 1(IL - 1)和 白介素 6(IL - 6)等能反映烧伤严重程度 [1-4] 烧伤并发脓毒症时,TN-FR [、II水平升高 [5-7],而且预后不好。本研究旨在探索烧伤急性阶段和感染阶段的肿瘤坏死因子受体 [、II(TNFR [、II])水平与烧伤严重程度的关系。

一、材料与方法

研究对象为 28 例严重烧伤患者 均在伤后 4 小时之内入院。烧伤总面积(TBSA)54.2% ±25.0% (22% -98%),烧伤指数(BI)为 44.6 ±20.8(16 -96)。平均年龄为 52.4 岁 ±19.0 岁(18 岁 -78 岁)。吸入性损伤 17 例(60.7%),15 例(53.6%)并发脓毒症,12 例(42.9%)死亡,其中二例在伤后 72 小时内死亡,其余 10 例在伤后一周后死亡。

病人入院后立即抽静脉血液放入肝素化试管内 离心获得血浆。用酶联免疫吸附试验(ELISA)进行 TNFR I、II定量和 $TNF - \alpha$ 定量。

所有变量值用 $x \pm SD$ 表示 ,并进行 t 检验, 计算相关系数。显著检验水准为 $\alpha = 0.05$ 。

二、结果

1. 入院时 TNFR I、II水平 P: 病人入院时正处于烧伤急性期,感染尚未发生,TNFR I和 TNFR II达最高水平,随后逐渐下降。TNFR I水平(1.3±0.6ng/ml)和 TBSA 呈正相关关系; TNFR I与 BI 呈正相关关系($\mathbf{r}=0.6829$ $\mathbf{p}=0.0006$)。TNFR II(3.8±1.3ng/ml)与 TBSA 呈正相关,与 BI 呈正相关($\mathbf{r}=0.6468$, $\mathbf{p}=0.0028$)。TNF $-\alpha$ 水平(7.9±6.8pg/ml)与 TBSA($\mathbf{r}=0.1641$, $\mathbf{p}=0.6034$),或与 BI($\mathbf{r}=-0.2060$ $\mathbf{p}=0.8474$)无正相关关系。

生存组 TNFR I值(0.9±0.2ng/ml)明显低于死亡组值(1.7±0.9ng/ml)生存组 TNFR II值(2.8±1.4ng/ml)明显低于死亡组值(4.6±1.9ng/ml)。

生存组 TNF - α 水平($6.7 \pm 6.0 \text{pg/ml}$)和死亡组 水 平($9.8 \pm 7.0 \text{pg/ml}$)无显著性差异(P=0.2676)。合并吸入性损伤组 TNFR I水平($1.6 \pm 0.9 \text{ng/ml}$)高于无吸入性损伤组 ($0.9 \pm 0.2 \text{ng/ml}$),但统计比较没有显著性差异(P=0.0928)。吸入性损伤者 TNF - α 值($7.9 \pm 7.0 \text{pg/ml}$)和无吸入性损伤者 TNF - α 值($7.9 \pm 7.0 \text{pg/ml}$)也无统计学差异(P=0.8764)。烧伤急性期 TNFR I水平与 TNFR II水平呈正相关(r=0.8648 ,p<0.0012),而 TNF - α 与TNFR I水平(r=0.2336 ;P = 0.5856)或 TNFR II水平(r=0.2496 ,P = 0.4868)没有相关性。

2. 烧伤感染期 TNFR I和 TNFR II峰值: 此期 所有烧伤患者均并发了创面感染或脓毒症, 测得 TNFR I和 TNFR II水平均高于入院时的测定值。 TN-FR I峰值($9.4\pm7.8\,\mathrm{ng/ml}$)与 TBSA、BI(r=0.5899 , p=0.0035)呈正相关; TNFR II峰值($12.2\pm7.6\,\mathrm{ng/ml}$)与 TBSA、BI(r=0.5890 , p=0.0056)呈正相关。

万方数据

TNF - α 峰值水平(194.1 ± 249pg/ml)与 TBSA (r=0.7696, p=0.0001)、 BI(r=0.6968, p=0.0001)均呈正相关。

生存组 TNFR I峰值水平($7.2\pm4.9 \,\mathrm{ng/ml}$)明显低于死亡组峰值($15.0\pm9.8 \,\mathrm{ng/ml}$), 生存组 TNFR II峰值水平($7.0\pm5.4 \,\mathrm{ng/ml}$) 也明显低于死亡组峰值($16.8\pm5.3 \,\mathrm{ng/ml}$)。死亡组 TNF $-\alpha$ 峰值($222.9\pm106.8 \,\mathrm{pg/ml}$) 明显高于生存组峰值($148.5\pm308.8 \,\mathrm{pg/ml}$),p=0.0003

三、讨论

普遍认为炎性细胞因子如 $TNF - \alpha$ 水平高低与烧伤的严重程度有关,尤其与并发感染的严重程度密切相关[3.5.8]。TNFR I、II具有抗炎作用<math>[5.7],对抗 $TNF - \alpha$ 致炎作用。TNFR I主要由上皮细胞和成纤维细胞等表达,TNFR I1主要由免疫活性细胞等表达。

本研究表明 ,TNFR I、II入院时水平与 TBSA、BI 密切相关 ,提示 TNFR I、II水平随烧伤严重程度而变化。而 TNF - α 没有这样表现。因为烧伤后不可能立即并发感染 ,烧伤后立即出现的 TNFR I、II水平升高是机体对烧伤刺激的反应。本研究证实 TN-FR I、II与 TBSA、BI 呈正相关 ,足以证明了这一点。但也有报道认为 TNFR I、II是外科应激反应的抗炎细胞因子反应 ,是机体对非感染性刺激的反应。

烧伤并发感染时 $TNF - \alpha$ 、TNFR I、II水平均升高,而且与 TBSA、BI 均呈正相关,提示 TBSA、BI 越大感染程度可能越重。同时亦提示,感染时 $TNF - \alpha$ 值升高刺激 TNFR I、II值升高。有报道 TNFR 血水平升高抑制 $TNF - \alpha$ 效应, $TNF - \alpha$ 与 TNFR 间的平衡影响炎症的严重程度。

本研究结果表明,严重烧伤病人无论是否并发感染 TNFR I、II水平均升高,烧伤越重升高越明显、并发感染后,病情越重,TNF $-\alpha$ 、TNFR I、II水平越高,达高水平平衡。显然不能用 TNF $-\alpha$ 和 TNFR I、II平衡论解释病情严重程度。因此认为:TNFR I、II水平能够反映烧伤或烧伤并发感染后的严重程度与预后;TNF $-\alpha$ 水平测定对烧伤并发感染严重程度

和预后的估计可能有一定的价值。

参考文献

- [1] Yamada Y, Endo Y, Inada K, Plasma cytokine levels in patients with severe burn injury: with reference to the relation – ship between infection and prognosis. Burns 1996; (22): 587.
- [2] Ueyama M, Maruyama, Osame M, et al. Marked increase in plasma interleukin – 6 in burn patients. J Lab Clin Med 1992; (120): 693.
- [3] Drost AC, Burleson DG, Cioffi WG, et al, Plasma cytokines after thermal injury and their relationship to infection. Ann. Surg. 1992; (218):74.
- [4] 吴天斌等. 烧伤患者血清 IL-6, IL-8, TNF-α测定及其临床意义. 宁波医学. 1999, 11(4): 153-15.
- [5] 孙晓庆等 脓毒症 现代危重病学. 安徽科学技术出版 社. 1998.86-102.
- [6] 柴家科等. 不同阶段(1970-1998)烧伤脓毒症防治经验. 中华烧伤杂志, 2000.(16):78-81.
- [7] Heinricson BE. Benjamin WR. Vogel SN. Differential cyto – kine induction by doses of lipopolysaccharide and monophosphoryl lipid A that result in equivalent early endotoxin tolerance. Infect Immun 1990; (58): 24 – 29.

[8] 彭代智 黄文华. 烧伤免疫的实验研究. 实验烧伤外科学. 重庆大学出版社, 1997, 127 - 174.

(收稿日期 2001-03-23 / 修回日期 2001-06-08)

【作者简介】

李利平(1959 -),男(汉族),湖南郴州人,湖南医科大学外科学硕士毕业,烧伤整形,主任,教授,主任医师,

丁腊春(1965 -),男(汉族),湖南益阳人,衡阳医学院毕业,烧伤整形,主治医师,

段非交(1964 –),男(汉族),湖南衡阳人,昆明医学院外科学硕士毕业、烧伤整形,副主任医师。

张建军(1968 –),男(汉族),湖南衡阳人,衡阳医学院毕业,烧伤整形,主治医师.

万 江(1975 –),男(汉族),湖南衡阳人,衡阳医学院毕业,烧伤整形,住院医师,

王汉群(1973 -),男(汉族),湖南娄底人, 衡阳医学院烧伤整形外科学在读硕士生

郑 军(1973 –),男(汉族),湖南邵阳人,衡阳医学院烧伤整形外科学在读硕士生。

刘明寿(1949 -),男(汉族),湖南衡阳人,湖南医学院毕业, 烧伤整形, 主治医师.

谭善彰 1948 –),男(汉族),湖南邵阳人,湖南医学院毕业,烧伤整形,教授、主任医师.

MEBO 治疗小儿 II 度烧伤创面的临床效果观察

李富强 梁 栋

【摘 要】目的:探讨湿润暴露疗法治疗小儿 II度烧伤创面的疗效。方法: 随机选择 86 例患儿分两组进行比较,即 :43 例采用 MEBO 治疗(A 组) A3 例采用 SD – Ag 治疗(B 组) 观察两组治疗效果。结果: A 组疼痛发生率 感染发生率 瘢痕出现率及手术例数 ,创面愈合时间均明显低于 B 组 (P<0.01),有非常显著性差异。结论: 湿润烧伤膏是目前治疗小儿 II度烧伤创面的理想方法。

【关键词】 MEBO :小儿烧伤 :TI度 治疗

【中图分类号】R644 【文献标识码】A 【文章编号】1001 - 0726 (2001)03 - 0161 - 03

The Efficacy of MEBO in Treating Pediatric Second Degree Burn Wounds Li Fu – qiang, Liang Dong Dept. of Burns, People's Hospital of Bishan County, Chongqing 402760

[Abstract] Objective: To investigate the efficacy of MEBO in treating pediatric 2nd degree burn wounds. Method: 86 burn children were divided randomly into 2 groups. 43 cases in MEBO group and 43 in SD – Ag group. The efficacy of the two groups was compared. Results: In MEBO group, the pain incidence, infection rate and scar forming rate were lower than that of SD – Ag group, the wound healing time was shorter and number of cases subjected to operation was fewer. (P<0.01). Conclusion: MEBO is an ideal remedy for treating pediatric 2nd degree burn wounds.

[Key words] MEBO Pediatric burn Second degree Treatmen

[CLC number]R644; R361. 2 [Document code]A [Article ID]1001 - 0726(2001)03 - 0161 - 03